



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/686,967	10/16/2003	Channing K. Barringer	60655.2600	8084

66170 7590 02/09/2010
Snell & Wilmer L.L.P. (AMEX)
ONE ARIZONA CENTER
400 E. VAN BUREN STREET
PHOENIX, AZ 85004-2202

EXAMINER

LONG, FONYA M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3689

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

02/09/2010

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

HSOBELMAN@SWLAW.COM
DMIER@SWLAW.COM
JESLICK@SWLAW.COM

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/686,967	Applicant(s) BARRINGER ET AL.	
	Examiner FONYA LONG	Art Unit 3689	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 October 2009.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3-5 and 15 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3-5 and 15 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

This communication is a Final Office Action rejection on the merits in response to communication received on October 20, 2009. Claim 1 has been amended. Claims 2 and 6-14 have been cancelled. Claims 1, 3-5, and 15 are currently pending and have been addressed below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1, 3-5, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Von Kohorn (5, 034, 807) in view of Roseman (6,608,636).

As per Claim 1, Von Kohorn discloses a system including:

a media source configured to broadcast via a first marketing channel a show (Col. 4, Lines 56-62; Col. 8, Lines 1-23, discloses providing for transmission of the television program to a remote audience);

an interface configured to accept from a participant input including contact information of said participant, a response to an inquiry, and a proposed solution incorporating a product of a sponsor and corresponding to said predetermined issue related to said business operation (Abstract, via a plurality of remote receiving stations wherein one or more members of a remote audience has the opportunity to respond to

Art Unit: 3689

a situation presentation in the television program by entering a response on a keyboard. Col. 41, Line 63-Col. 42, Line 12, discloses the responses relating to merchandise being advertised (i.e. product of a sponsor));

a database constructed to store said contact information, said response, and said proposed solution from said participant and link said response and said proposed solution to a plurality of responses and a plurality of proposed solutions, respectively, from a plurality of other participants based on at least one of said response to said inquiry and said proposed solution (Abstract, via a memory response to the instructional signal for storing acceptable responses, and a comparison circuit for comparing responses entered at the keyboard with those stored in the memory. Col. 15, Lines 5-23, via scoring the response);

a processor constructed to select at least one proposed solution stored on said database and incorporate said at least one proposed solution into said storyline (Col. 15, Lines 5-23, via a machine-readable means too facilitate a reading of the score and/or response (i.e. proposed solution) by the host on the television show (i.e. incorporated into the storyline)).

However, Von Kohorn fails to explicitly disclose an interface being communicatively coupled to a network for facilitating communication between said participant and the plurality of other participants.

Roseman discloses a remote conference system with the concept of an interface being communicatively coupled to a network for facilitating communication between said

Art Unit: 3689

participant and the plurality of other participants (Abstract, via multiple parties are linked by both video and audio media: the parties can see, as well as hear, each other).

Therefore, from the teaching of Roseman , it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system for evaluation and rewarding of responses and predictions of Von Kohorn to include an interface being communicatively coupled to a network for facilitating communication between said participant and the plurality of other participants as taught by Roseman in order to aid in providing a collaborative means for participants to communicate and view the responses of fellow participants.

Examiner asserts that the type of show being broadcasted is considered non-functional descriptive material. The type of show being broadcast does not change the system as described in Claim 1. Examiner asserts that Von Kohorn is fully capable of incorporating any television show have any storyline.

Examiner also asserts that the type of proposed solution being entered and stored in the system is considered non-functional descriptive material. The information provided in the proposed solution does not change the function of the system claimed.

As per Claims 3 and 4, Von Kohorn discloses the claimed invention as applied to Claim 1, above. However, Von Kohorn fails to explicitly disclose the product including financial assistance products, a transaction card, a financial service, and a loan service.

Examiner asserts the type of product being incorporated in a proposed solution is considered non-functional descriptive material. The type of product does not change the

Art Unit: 3689

function of inputting and storing a proposed solution and incorporating a proposed solution in a storyline.

As per Claim 5, Von Kohorn discloses the claimed invention as applied to Claim 1, above. However, Von Kohorn fails to explicitly disclose said show being a reality show.

Examiner asserts the type of show being broadcasted is considered non-functional descriptive material. The fact that the show is a reality show does not change the function of the claimed system. Examiner asserts Von Kohorn is fully capable of being performed utilizing a reality television show.

As per Claim 15, Von Kohorn discloses the claimed invention as applied to Claim 1, above. However, Von Kohorn fails to explicitly disclose the owner as a participant.

Examiner asserts the type of participants providing a response and a proposed solution is considered non-functional descriptive material. The type of participant does not change the function of inputting and storing a response and a proposed solution provided by a participant. Von Kohorn is fully capable of utilizing an type of person as a participant.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed October 20, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FONYA LONG whose telephone number is (571)270-5096. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs. 7:30am-6pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Janice Mooneyham can be reached on (571) 272-6805. The fax phone

Art Unit: 3689

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/F. L./

Examiner, Art Unit 3689

/Janice A. Mooneyham/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3689